

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Side Effects of Daily versus Weekly Iron Supplementation in Pregnant Women

Muhammad Rizki Yaznil^{1*}, Muara Panusunan Lubis¹, Sarma Nursani Lumbanraja¹, Melvin Nova Gunawanto Barus¹, Adriani Sakina¹, Munauwarus Sarirah¹

¹Department Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia

*Corresponding author:

Muhammad Rizki Yaznil, Department Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia, Tel.: +62-61-8363760, Email: rizki.yaznil@usu.ac.id

Received date: November 23, 2018; Accepted date: February 05, 2019; Published date: March 31, 2019

Abstract

Background & Objective: Iron supplementation in pregnant women is highly recommended, however there is reduced compliance due to the common gastrointestinal side effects. This study aimed to compare the clinical side effects between pregnant women with daily and weekly iron supplementation.

Methods: Seventy-nine pregnant women who met the inclusion and exclusion criteria were given iron supplements every day (group 1, n=37) and twice a week (group 2, n=42). All numerical data were assessed by independent t-test. Side effects were assessed after one month of administration using a questionnaire containing complaints felt by pregnant women and were evaluated by chi-square test using SPSS 25. A *P* value <0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results: The most common side effects of iron supplementation in both groups were black feces (35.4%) and nausea (31.6%). Nausea (45.9% vs. 19.0%; P < 0.05) and constipation (35.1% vs. 11.9%; P < 0.05) were more frequent in group 1 than in group 2, respectively. Other side effects, such as heartburn, flatulence, vomiting, black feces, and urine discoloration did not differ significantly between the two groups (P > 0.05).

Conclusion: The daily iron supplementation elevated the episodes of nausea and constipation during pregnancy than the weekly supplementation. The daily iron supplementation in pregnant women increases the risk of side effects, potentially reducing compliance.

Key words: Iron supplement, pregnancy, side effects, daily, weekly

Introduction

One of the most common problems in pregnancy is iron deficiency anemia.¹ The World Health Organization defines anemia in pregnant women as hemoglobin concentration less than 11.0 g/dl.² Iron deficiency in pregnant women is due to increased iron requirements, especially in the second and third trimesters.³ In these trimesters, there is a rise of red blood cells and hemoglobin for the growth of the placenta and fetus.⁴ Low intake and poor iron absorption are risk factors for anemia.⁵ Iron deficiency in pregnancy increases the risk of maternal and child mortality and has negative impact on the cognitive and physical development

of children.⁶ The daily recommended intake of iron supplement in pregnant women is 50% higher than the non-pregnant. Therefore, iron supplement to pregnant women is highly recommended.⁷

Low compliance in consumption of iron in pregnant women mainly because of gastrointestinal side effects, such as nausea, flatulence, abdominal pain, diarrhea, constipation, and black or tarry feces.⁸ A recent study found that daily iron supplements increase lipid peroxidation⁹ and reduce zinc absorption.^{10, 11} Free iron has a pro-oxidizing effect, which catalyzes the formation of free radicals and causes cell damage. Free radical damage and lipid peroxidation are the causes of complications of pregnancy, such as pre-eclampsia¹² and intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR).¹³

Several studies have been conducted to assess alternative regimens for iron administration in pregnant women, which would minimize side effects and increase compliance.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ A previous study showed no difference between daily and weekly iron supplementation in preventing iron deficiency anemia in pregnant women.¹⁷ Goshtasebi et al. concluded that daily or weekly iron supplementation has the similar effect.^{18, 15} Bouzari et al. observed that there were no significant differences in the concentrations of hemoglobin and serum ferritin in nonanemic pregnant women who consume iron three times a week, once a week, or every day.¹⁹ A recent study in India showed that weekly iron intake was considered effective in preventing anemia in nonanemic pregnant women, however it was less effective in anemic pregnant women.²⁰ Thiobarbituric-acid-reacting-substances (TBARS), a marker of the end product of lipid peroxidation, was reported to increase significantly in the group of pregnant women with daily iron intake. Whereas, in the group administered with weekly iron supplementation, the increase in TBARS declined.²¹ Therefore, the purpose of this study was to compare the clinical side effects between pregnant women administered with daily and twice a week iron supplementation.

Materials & methods

Samples and data collection

This prospective cohort study has been approved by Health Research Ethical Committee, Medical Faculty of Universitas Sumatera Utara/Haji Adam Malik General Hospital (260/TGL/KEPK FK USU-RSUPHAM/2018). The study, involving 79 pregnant women attending Belawan Health Center, was conducted from July 2018 to September 2018 in Medan City-one of the health centers with the highest number of pregnant women in Medan. The effect size of 33% (P1=43%, P2=10%)⁹ was used to estimate the sample size. Pregnant women who met the inclusion criteria (agreed to be a participant, 12-28 weeks of gestational age, baseline hemoglobin concentration ≥ 10.0 g/dl) were given iron supplements every day (group 1, n=37) and twice a week (group 2, n=42). Oral iron supplements administered was tablet ferrous fumarate 180 mg: tradename $Paphros^{Rx}$. The side effects were listed according to the leaflet of the drugs and explained thoroughly to all the participant to self-administer iron supplements for up to 3 months. Routine blood count, including hemoglobin, hematocrit, and serum ferritin levels was carried out. Side effects listed in the leaflet were assessed after one month of iron administration using a questionnaire containing complaints felt by pregnant women while consuming iron supplements.

Statistical analysis

All numerical data were assessed by independent t-test. Side effects of iron supplementation in daily and twice-a-week groups were evaluated using chi-square test. All data were analyzed statistically using SPSS 25. A P value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

Among the 79 women enrolled, 37 (46.8%) and 42 (53.2%) were on daily and weekly iron supplementation, respectively (Table 1).

There was no difference in age, hemoglobin concentration, hematocrit erythrocytes, mean

Characteristics	Iron supplementation		– <i>P</i> value
	Daily (n=37)	Weekly (n=42)	- r value
Age (years)	26.67 ± 6.1	28.78 ± 5.2	0.108
Gestational age (weeks)	21.75 ± 5.7	24.66 ± 4.6	0.017
Hemoglobin (g/dl)	11.50 ± 1.1	11.28 ± 1.1	0.426
Hematocrit (%)	34.08 ± 3.0	33.64 ± 3.1	0.550
Erythrocytes (10 ⁶ /ml)	4.07 ± 5.2	3.90 ± 4.5	0.158
MCV (fl)	84.30 ± 6.6	86.51 ± 5.4	0.127
MCH (pg)	28.49 ± 2.8	29.04 ± 2.2	0.369
MCHC (g/dl)	33.74 ± 1.3	33.55 ± 0.9	0.481
Thrombocytes (10 ³ /µl)	321.87 ± 502.6	294.60 ± 604.71	0.044
Leukocytes (10 ³ /µl)	1.33 ± 1.3	1.12 ± 2.1	0.357
ESR (mm/hour)	61.12±26.8	61.10 ± 22.6	0.998
Ferritin (µg/l)	47.65 ± 45.4	38.31 ± 40.3	0.362

Table 1: Characteristics of pregnant women and hematologic status

MCV, Mean corpuscular volume; *MCH*, Mean corpuscular hemoglobin; *MCHC*, Mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration; *ESR*, Erythrocyte sedimentation rate

corpuscular volume (MCV), mean corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH), mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (MCHC), leukocytes, erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), and serum ferritin between the daily and weekly supplementation groups (P > 0.05). The gestational age of the daily and weekly supplementation groups was the second trimester, despite statistically there was significant differences (P < 0.05). Side effects of iron supplementation were presented in Table 2 below.

The most common side effects reported in pregnant women in both the groups were black feces (35.4%) and nausea (31.6%). Flatulence and constipation were also common side effects (22.8%). The difference of side effects of iron supplementation between daily and weekly groups is presented in Table 3.

 Table 2: Side effects of iron supplementation

Side effects	N (%)
Heartburn	12 (15.2)
Flatulence	18 (22.8)
Nausea	25 (31.6)
Vomit	11 (13.9)
Constipation	18 (22.8)
Diarrhea	0(0)
Black or tarry feces	28 (35.4)
Urine discoloration	1 (1.3)
Allergies	1 (1.3)

Side effects —	Iron supplementation		D 1
	Daily, n (%)	Weekly, n (%)	- P value
Heartburn			
Yes	7 (18.9)	5 (11.9)	0.386
No	30 (81.1)	37 (88.1)	
Flatulence			
Yes	12 (32.4)	6 (14.3)	0.055
No	25 (67.6)	36 (85.7)	
Nausea			
Yes	17 (45.9)	8 (19.0)	0.010*
No	20 (54.1)	34 (81.0)	0.010*
Vomit			
Yes	8 (22.2)	3 (7.1)	0.056
No	29 (78.4)	39 (92.9)	
Constipation			
Yes	13 (35.1)	5 (11.9)	0.014*
No	24 (64.9)	37 (88.1)	
Black feces			
Yes	16 (43.2)	12 (28.6)	0 174
No	21 (56.8)	30 (71.4)	0.174
Urine discoloration			
Yes	0 (0.0)	1 (2.4)	0.345
No	37 (100.0)	41 (97.6)	
Allergies			
Yes	0 (0.0)	1 (2.4)	0.345
No	37 (100.0)	41 (97.6)	
	× /	· /	

Table 3: The difference in side effects of iron supplementation between daily and twice a week group

Nausea and constipation were more frequent in daily iron supplementation group than in weekly group (P < 0.05). Other side effects, such as heartburn, flatulence, vomiting, black feces, and urine discoloration did not differ significantly between the two groups (P > 0.05).

Discussion

The gastrointestinal symptoms were the most common side effects of oral iron supplementation. Besides black or tarry feces as the most common gastrointestinal effect, diarrhea is another gastrointestinal symptom that could be found as a side effect of iron supplementation;⁸ however, in this study it was not observed. In a study conducted by Shafi et al.,²² the side effects of iron supplementation with a focus on the route of administration were evaluated. In contrast to the present study, they reported diarrhea in five women.

In the present study, urine discoloration was observed in the weekly group, although the result was not significant. The darker color of urine after consuming oral iron supplementation is possibly related to iron metabolism, especially the iron excreted in urine. Deferoxamine is a specific iron chelator that binds to ferric iron forming a water-soluble compound that is rapidly excreted by the kidney. Deferoxamine causes a vin rosé discoloration of the urine as side effect of iron intake. In other words, urine discoloration is a sign of the increasing iron excretion.²³ The side effects of oral iron intake are often associated with a higher dose. The high doses of iron supplements have not provided optimal benefit for pregnant women.¹⁴

In the current study, among all side effects, nausea and constipation were more frequent in the daily group as compared to the weekly group. Despite no significant difference, flatulence and vomiting were more frequently observed in the daily group than in weekly group. A previous study conducted by Ahmed et al.24 showed that constipation was the most common factor for noncompliance of iron supplementation in pregnant women. Constipation had the highest percentage among all of factors, including nausea, diarrhea, heartburn and vomiting. A controlled trial study by Bilimale et al. found that forgetfulness was the main symptom followed by gastrointestinal symptoms as the side effects for low adherence of iron supplementation. The other symptoms included vomiting, nausea, heartburn, and diarrhea.²⁵ This showed that the side effect would decrease the compliance of iron supplementation.

Gebremedhin et al. reported that side effect was the most underlying reason for nonadherence in pregnant women taking iron supplementation.²⁶ In this study, almost all pregnant women were not informed about the side effects of iron supplementation, thus the compliance was low. Another study also showed that the impact of side effects would result in nonadherence in up to 50% participants.⁸ Therefore, the side effects of oral iron supplementation indirectly cause treatment failures and unnecessary follow-up investigations.

Conclusion

The daily iron supplementation caused comparatively increased nausea and constipation during pregnancy than the weekly supplementation. This indicates that the daily iron supplementation in pregnant women increases the risk of side effects, potentially reducing compliance. It is thus recommended that before the initiation of supplementation, the researcher or the medical professional should explain the details of oral iron supplementation, including dose, time of consumption, and any associated side effect.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank the Ministry of Research, Technology, and Higher Education for grant funding.

Conflicts of interest

The authors of this study have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Reference

- 1. World Health Organization. The World Health Report 2002: Reducing risks, promotion healthy life. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2008. Available at: http://www.who.int/whr/2002/ en/whr02_en.pdf?ua=1. Accessed October 13, 2018.
- World Health Organization. Hemoglobin concentrations for the diagnosis of anemia and assessment of severity. Geneva: Vitamin and Mineral Nutrition Information System; 2011. Available at: https://www.who.int/vmnis/ indicators/haemoglobin/en/. Accessed October 13, 2018.
- Hallberge L. Iron balance in pregnancy and lactation. In: Fomon S, Zlotkin S, editors. Nutrition Anemias Nestle Nutrition Workshop Series. New York, NY: Raven Press; 1992:13-28.
- Chandra S, Tripathi A, Mishra S, et al. Physiological changes in hematological parameters during pregnancy. Indian J Hematol Blood Transfus. 2012;28(3):144-6.
- 5. Cusick S, Mei Z, Freedman D, et al. Unexplained decline in the prevalence of anemia among US children and women between 1988-1994 and 1999-2002. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88(6):1611-7.
- Halterman J, Kaczorowski J, Aligne C, et al. Iron deficiency and cognitive achievement among school-aged children and adolescents in the United States. Pediatrics. 2011;107(6):1381-6.
- Food and Board Nutrition. Part II: Nutrient supplements. Washington DC: National Academy Press; 1990. Available at: https:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK235228/ pdf/Bookshelf_NBK235228.pdf. Accessed October 15, 2018.

- 8. Tolkien Z, Stecher L, Mander A, et al. Ferrous sulfate supplementation causes significant gastrointestinal side-effects in Adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2015;10(2):e0117383.
- Bhatla N, Kaul N, Lal N, et al. Comparison of effectofdailyversusweeklyironsupplementation during pregnancy on lipid peroxidation. J Obs Gynaecol Res. 2009;35(3):438-45.
- 10. Olivares M, Pizarro F, Ruz M, et al. Acute inhibition of iron bioavailability by zinc: studies in humans. Biometals. 2012;25(4):657–64.
- Lachili B, Hininger I, Faure H, et al. Increased lipid peroxidation in pregnant women after iron and vitamin C supplementation. Biol Trace Elem Res. 2001;83(2):103-10.
- Aouache R, Biquard L, Vaiman D, et al. Oxidative stress in preeclampsia and placental diseases. Int J Mol Sci. 2018;19(5):1496.
- Hracsko Z, Orvos H, Novak Z, et al. Evaluation of oxidative stress markers in neonates with intra-uterine growth retardation. Redox Rep. 2008;13(1):11-6.
- Galloway R, McGuire J. Daily versus weekly: How many iron pills do pregnant women need? Nutr Rev. 1996;54(10):316-23.
- 15. Hyder SZ, Persson LA, Chowdhury AM, et al. Do side-effects reduce compliance to iron supplementation? A study of daily- and weekly dose regimens in pregnancy. J Health Popul Nutr. 2002;20(2):175-9.
- Beard J. Effectiveness and strategies of iron supplementation during pregnancy. Am J Clin Nutr. 2000;71(5):1288s-94s.
- 17. Ekström EC, Hyder SZ, Chowdhury AM, et al. Efficacy and trial effectiveness of weekly and daily iron supplementation among pregnant women in rural Bangladesh: disentangling the issues. Am J Clin Nutr. 2002;76(6):1392-400.
- 18. Goshtasebi A, Alizadeh M. Impact of twice weekly versus daily iron supplementation during

pregnancy on maternal and fetal hematological indices: A randomized clinical trial. EMHJ. 2012;18(6):561-6.

- 19. Bouzari Z, Basirat Z, Zadeh MZ, et al. Daily versus intermittent iron supplementation in pregnant women. BMC Res Notes. 2011;4(1):444-8.
- 20. Mukhopadhyay A, Bhatla N, Kriplani A, et al. Daily versus intermittent iron supplementation in pregnant women: Hematological and pregnancy outcome. J Obs Gynaecol Res. 2004;30(6):409-17.
- 21. Viteri FE, Casanueva E, Tolentino MC, et al. Antenatal iron supplements consumed daily produce oxidative stress in contrast to weekly supplementation in Mexican non-anemic women. Reprod Toxicol. 2012;34(1):125-32.
- Shafi D, Purandare SV, Sathe AV. Iron deficiency anemia in pregnancy: Intravenous versus oral route. J Obs Gynaecol India. 2012;62(3):317-21.
- 23. Fernández S, Castro P, Nogué S, et al. Acute iron intoxication: Change in urine color during chelation therapy with deferoxamine. Intensive Care Med. 2014;40(1):104-104.
- 24. Ahmed EB, Ali EA, Mohamed EH, et al. Assessment of iron and calcium supplements compliance among pregnant women attending antenatal care unit of Al-Sabah Banat primary health care unit in Ismailia , Egypt. J Med Bio Sci Res. 2015;1(3):24-9.
- Bilimale A, Anjum J, Sangolli H, et al. Improving adherence to oral iron supplementation during pregnancy. Australas Med J. 2010;15(5):281-90.
- 26. Gebremedhin S, Samuel A, Mamo G, et al. Coverage, compliance, and factors associated with utilization of iron supplementation during pregnancy in eight rural districts of Ethiopia: A cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health. 2014;14(1):607-14.